1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
3	TAGOUEG DIVERN) D 1 1 1 1 0 0 1100	
4	JACQUES RIVERA,) Docket No. 12 C 4428	
5	PI	.aintiff,)	
6	VS.))	
7	REYNALDO GUEVARA, et al.,) Chicago, Illinois) June 1, 2018	
8	De	efendants.) 11:00 o'clock a.m.	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - TELEPHONE STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN B. GOTTSCHALL		
10			
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiff: LC	EVV C TOEVV	
13		: MR. JONATHAN I. LOEVY	
14		MR. STEVEN E. ART MR. ANAD SWAMINATHAN	
15		MS. ANNE GOTTSCHALK 11 N. Aberdeen Street, 3rd Floor	
16	Ch	nicago, Illinois 60607	
17	RO	DERICK MacARTHUR JUSTICE CENTER	
	NO	RTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW	
18		5 E. Chicago Avenue	
19	Ch	nicago, Illinois 60611	
20	For the Individual TH	E SOTOS LAW FIRM	
21		: MR. JAMES G. SOTOS MR. JEFFREY N. GIVEN	
22		MS. CAROLINE P. GOLDEN	
23		MR. JOSEPH POLICK	
24	It	asca, Illinois 60143	
	II		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued) :
2		
3	For the Defendant City of Chicago:	ROCK FUSCO & CONNELLY, LLC BY: MS. EILEEN E. ROSEN MS. CATHERINE M. BARBER
4		MS. THERESA B. CARNEY 321 N. Clark St., Suite 2200
5		Chicago, Illinois 60654
6	For the Defendant	TEINENWEDED DADONI (DAEEADA IIO
7	Guevara:	LEINENWEBER BARONI & DAFFADA, LLC BY: MR. THOMAS E. LEINENWEBER
8		MR. JAMES V. DAFFADA MR. KEVIN ZIBOLSKI 120 N. LaSalle St., Suite 2000
9		Chicago, Illinois 60602
10	Transcriber:	MS. JOENE HANHARDT
11	Transcriber.	Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 1744-A
12		Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-6874
13	* * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *
14		
15	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY DIGITAL RECORDING TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER	
16	TRANSCR	PT PRODUCED BY COMPOTER
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
THE CLERK: Case No. 12 C 4428, Rivera vs. Guevara.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Hello. Hello.
 3
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Hello.
             MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): Hi.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. Tell me who is on phone.
 5
 6
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Good morning, your Honor,
 7
    for the plaintiff's side we have got Steve Art, Anad
 8
    Swaminathan, Rachel Brady and Anne Gottschalk and Jon Loevy.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): And Locke Bowman, as well.
11
             THE COURT: Say that, again. We have got a court
12
    reporter here and you said that really fast.
13
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Sorry.
14
             The whole thing, Judge?
15
             THE COURT: Yes.
16
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Jon Loevy, Anad
17
    Swaminathan, Steve Art, Rachel Brady, Anne Gottschalk and Locke
18
    Bowman for the plaintiff.
19
             THE COURT: Okay.
20
             And for the defense?
21
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Jim Sotos, Jeff Given,
22
    Carrie Golden and Joe Polick on behalf of the individual
23
    defendants, with the exception of Rey Guevara and Ed Mingey.
2.4
             MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): Eileen Rosen -- go ahead.
25
             MR. LEINENWEBER (Via Telephone): For Rey Guevara and
```

Ed Mingey, it is Tom Leinenweber, Jim Daffada and Kevin 1 2 Zibolski. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): And for the City of 4 5 Chicago, it is Eileen Rosen, Theresa Carney and Catherine 6 Barber. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 Do we know anything more about the condition of Mr. 9 Rivera than we knew at the time of the e-mail? 10 MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you what concerns me 12 about all of this. 13 I have picked out this time for this trial because you 14 said two weeks. And I certainly do not want a trial that runs 15 into the 4th of July. And what is now happening is you are 16 suggesting moving it, so that we are almost certain of either 17 running into the 4th of July with the trial or even more 18 disastrous, it seems to me for fairness to whomever -- I cannot 19 guess -- having the jury deliberating on the 4th of July. 20 Now, the problem with the 4th of July, besides having 21 difficulty getting a jury, is I do not know what kind of a 22 weird jury we are going to get. Because we are not going to 23 have anybody with kids or vacations or anything. 24 I just think this is really -- I just do not know.

And I can see starting this a day late, but that does

not help the plaintiff.

I mean, you are talking about picking a jury on Wednesday and starting the case the next week.

I mean, you know, happy 4th of July. And, then, what do we do? We give the jury the week off?

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Well, your Honor, we firmly and strongly believe that this thing absolutely will finish before July 4th. And we have as much interest as everybody else in finishing before July 4th.

THE COURT: Well, you have been saying that from the beginning, but the City has never even half-way agreed with you.

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Well, they don't want this trial, your Honor. I mean, they don't.

We are going to call all of the witnesses and we are going to finish our case in seven or eight trial days. And there is not going to be any witnesses left but experts, basically.

And we are talking about starting on Wednesday and actually getting the witnesses this week, too, which would mean we would be darn close to finishing our case by the 15th.

And if it bled over into the week of the 18th, there is no reason the trial wouldn't finish that week. And, certainly, that gives you, you know, almost two full weeks for the defense case and deliberations.

```
1
             THE COURT: And what are we going do -- what are we
 2
    going to do --
 3
              (Brief telephone interruption.)
             THE COURT: I am sorry?
 4
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): It sounds like we
 5
 6
    accidently got somebody's voicemail on there, but I think we
 7
    can ignore that.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay.
             So, what are we going to do if your estimates are
 9
10
    wrong and we find ourselves approaching the 4th of July weekend
    without a verdict?
11
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Well, your Honor, we are
12
13
    prepared to impose limits on it, you know, that we will commit
14
    to our --
15
              (Brief telephone interruption.)
16
             THE COURT: Hold on a second. This is something
17
    happening on your end, we think.
18
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): It sounds like someone's
19
    voicemail got in.
20
              (Brief telephone interruption.)
21
             THE COURT: Well, shall we start, again? This is
22
    hopeless.
23
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): We can call the Court
2.4
    back, your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Bye.
 1
 2
             (Brief pause.)
 3
             THE COURT: Hi. Is everyone the same who was here
    when we talked the first time -- the same lawyers?
 4
             MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): Yes.
 5
 6
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone):
 7
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: All right.
 9
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Judge, I was saying for
    the plaintiffs, that we --
10
11
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Judge, this is Jim --
12
    excuse me, Judge. This is Jim Sotos. Can I, at least, apprise
    the Court of the defendants' view of this?
13
14
             THE COURT: Sure.
15
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Okay.
16
             You know, first of all, I want to say that we are
17
    really -- we are genuinely -- sorry to hear about Mr. Rivera's
18
    health scare. We hope that the next news that he gets is
19
    encouraging.
20
             With respect to the contention that, you know, we
21
    don't want the trial, we have expressed skepticism about
22
    whether the trial can be completed in the time that the
23
    plaintiff is estimating, from the time he said this originally.
    It is not about not wanting a trial.
2.4
25
             We want a trial as much as anybody. The case is
```

six years old. We understand that.

But we have a Pretrial Order in a case that the plaintiff has set forth that makes it impossible for us to think that the plaintiff can present his case in a week-and-a-half.

THE COURT: All right.

Let me interrupt because I do not realistically believe that the plaintiff's estimate can be counted on, either. It may be. It may not be.

I think we have to agree on some ground rules if we are going to start when you are talking about.

Now, first of all, I would like to tentatively set Tuesday as our start date.

We will hear from you on Monday as to what the doctors -- actually, I am going to provide for you to let us know over the weekend.

If Mr. Rivera's situation is okay, I do not think we should wait until Wednesday. I think we should start Tuesday.

If it is not okay, if the doctors are still saying it is problematic, we can talk about Wednesday. But I think we ought to do everything we can.

I mean, plenty of people facing something like this have anxiety and it turns out to be nothing. And we do not know if that is what we are dealing with or we are dealing with something more significant.

So, I think we ought to, at least for current purposes, believe the best and tentatively talk about picking this jury on Tuesday.

We can tell our Jury Department by Monday afternoon if we cannot start on Tuesday and if we need to start on Wednesday. So, that is the first thing I would say.

The second thing I will say is this: If we get into the Friday before the 4th of July and are not finished, I think we need to give this jury the week of the 4th off because the 4th is on a Wednesday. And I do not see any way that we can require people to be here -- I mean, everybody -- everybody who has got any kind of life -- is going to be taking that week off.

And I think we need to be able to tell the jury that we will not require them to be here the week of the 4th. And we will go through the Friday before and we will start the Monday after.

That lets people not worry about the 4th and do what they need to do in their lives.

And those are the conditions under which I would be willing to do this.

We would try for the Tuesday. When we get more medical opinions, we see where we go from there. And if we at least have an agreement that if the plaintiff's estimate is off base -- and nobody, nobody, ever is able to plan exactly how

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
long the trial is going to take, especially with these complex
issues and the possibility of deliberations -- that we assure
the jury that if they -- I mean, you know, I certainly would
give the jury a choice.
         If they want to keep working -- if they are close -- I
do not mind giving them a choice the week before. But I think
we need to tell them now that we will not require them to work
the week of the 4th.
         With those conditions, I would be willing to go ahead.
But without those conditions, I do not think we ought to be
starting this case at this point.
         MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Well, that makes sense to
the plaintiff, your Honor.
         And, obviously, we can update everybody as soon as we
know more.
         We are supposed to know more tonight, we believe, by
the close of business about his tests.
         THE COURT: I --
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Judge, on behalf of the
individual defendants --
         THE COURT: This is Mr. Leinenweber, right?
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): No.
         THE COURT: No?
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): No, this is Mr. Sotos,
Judge.
```

THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Sotos. Okay. 1 2 Thank you. 3 MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): I apologize. So, we have a Pretrial Order that lists twelve 4 5 defendants. We have seven or eight experts. We have two 6 404(b) witnesses which will be talking about other cases. 7 if the plaintiff is saying that he is going to finish in a 8 week-and-a-half, then he -- I know he -- can't be planning to 9 call all of these people. Because that would be physically 10 impossible. 11 So, what we are doing is preparing for these 40 or 45 12 witnesses. And we think, at a minimum, if this is -- if there 13 is any realism to this, that we at least have a right to be 14 apprised of what kind of a case we are going to be defending. 15 Because if this can get done in the time that he is 16 saying, we think it makes sense, too. We are not trying to 17 kick the trial date if it can actually get done. 18 But, based on the case that we are preparing to 19 defend, we know that it is impossible. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 Well, I have a very limited amount of power to drop 22 the plaintiff in boiling oil, to make them make what I think is 23 a reasonable disclosure. But can you not talk to one another

I mean, this is only fair -- that the City and the

2.4

25

and do this?

defendants have some idea of what they are going to be expected to meet.

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Your Honor, absolutely.

And what we told Mr. Sotos -- and we will continue to tell him -- is that if we started this trial on Friday or even Wednesday, we would shrink it to fit it.

If we start it on Tuesday, we are only losing one day.

And, so, it shouldn't come as a surprise to the defendants what

case we are trying, because it is the same case we have been

trying for six years.

Even if we start it later in the week and we have to shrink it to a week-and-a-half, we will tell them how we are shrinking.

You know, we are going to finish this trial, your Honor. It is in our -- it is not in our interest to have this thing go into July.

THE COURT: So, you are saying if we start on Tuesday, your case is going to -- you are expecting the same three-week trial we have been talking about; but, if we start on Wednesday, you are going to shrink it to a week-and-a-half?

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): And if we start on Friday, we would shrink it even more.

We want to finish this trial in June.

THE COURT: Well, you have to communicate with each other. I do not want to be hearing this kind of thing because,

- you know, there is little I can do about it. It is just basic 1 2 fairness -- that the defense understands what they are going to 3 be dealing with. MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Mr. Sotos and I have been 4 talking about the other defendants and we are going to continue 5 6 talking about the other defendants. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 So, let me tell you, then, we are going to aim for 9 Tuesday. You are going to give me --10 Do you have Marlan's e-mail address? 11 MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): That is probably on the 12 Web site, right? I bet we do. 13 THE COURT: Well, you know, what? We will get an 14 e-mail out to you this afternoon with both her e-mail address 15 and my law clerk Eugene's e-mail address. And as soon as you 16 know something, please let them know so that they can 17 communicate it to me. Okay? No. 1. 18 As I say, if we have to start Wednesday, we will have 19 to start Wednesday. We are going to go with this, if we can. 20 At some point, I am not going to want to try this case 21 in June. But as long as we can get it started pretty soon --22 you know, making no commitments -- let's go with it. 23
 - MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Very good.

25

THE COURT: Now, the other thing I tell you is I am going to tell this jury that we expect it to be finished before

```
the 4th of July; but, regardless, they will not be expected to
 1
 2
    work the week of the 4th of July.
 3
             Is that okay with everybody?
             MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): That sounds very
 4
    appropriate from the plaintiff's side, your Honor.
 5
 6
             MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): Judge, from the City's
 7
    side, I am concerned that if the case runs long, that this jury
 8
    is going to fault the defendants because we are going to be in
 9
    the defendants' case. So, that is a concern.
10
             THE COURT: Well, I think that is unlikely. And we
11
    can do -- we can do -- whatever we need to do so that does not
12
    happen.
             I have never seen a jury be that stupid. And I have
13
14
    been doing this for a long time.
15
             My guess is --
16
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Judge, this is Jim Sotos
17
    talking.
18
             Judge, I just want you to know that this happened to
19
    the City last year in a case where, once the plaintiff's case
20
    was over and it was running long, and the judge was pushing it
21
    -- and the jurors were openly perturbed at the length of the
22
    case -- and they did appear to take it out on the defendants.
23
    And that was a very real situation that happened last week.
2.4
    And that is what Ms. Rosen is talking about.
```

THE COURT: And how did you --

1 MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): That is a concern. 2 THE COURT: How do you conclude that they were taking 3 it out on you, rather than just having you lose? MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Well, it was from the 4 5 trial lawyers' reaction to the jury's behavior during the last 6 week of trial and it was going beyond what was expected. 7 THE COURT: Well -- okay. 8 So, tell me how I am supposed to fix that. 9 MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Judge, the problem is we don't -- we are not seeing how this can realistically get done 10 11 with this July 4th date coming. And it just appears to us to 12 be unworkable, unless we know that the plaintiff -- you know, 13 it would be one thing if the Court would direct the plaintiff 14 to -- if the Court would tell the plaintiff -- "I am going to 15 close your case" on such and such a date. 16 And if the lawyers are saying, "Well, we don't know 17 how long the crosses are going to take," that is something the 18 Court can control and make sure everybody is asking relevant 19 questions. 20 But with the uncertainty; and, then, everybody is 21 manipulating to try and figure out a way to avoid the July 4th 22

issue, we think that is the only way that that can be avoided.

And if the Court is willing to do that -- and I think that is within the Court's power -- I do think that it is workable.

23

24

```
THE COURT: Well, given --
 1
 2
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): The other thing I wanted
 3
    to --
             THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
 4
 5
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): I am sorry, Judge.
 6
    sorry.
 7
             THE COURT: No, go ahead.
 8
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): I was going to say -- this
 9
    is on a little bit of a different issue, but I wanted to raise
10
    with the Court the fact -- so the Court knew this was something
11
    we were planning on doing before any of this happened -- we are
12
    going to be filing later today a motion for a Rule 1292
13
    certification on the reasonable diligence defense because we
14
    believe that is clearly a controlling question of law; and,
15
    that if there is an immediate appeal taken on that, it will
16
    dramatically reduce the likelihood of a second trial, given the
17
    Court's view that that is a matter of first impression.
18
             I am raising it now only because I didn't want the
19
    Court to think --
20
             THE COURT: Yes.
21
             MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): -- that this is something
22
    we came up with now. We actually had this brief. It has been
23
    prepared. It is being finalized. And this all came up well
    before you --
2.4
25
             THE COURT: Well, let me just give you -- let me give
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
you -- the bad news. I am not going to grant a 1292 -- I will
read your brief, but I do not think I am going to grant a 1292
-- certification requiring a continuance of this trial date.
         So, I would suggest --
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Understood.
         THE COURT: -- getting it over to me as soon as
possible, so you can get it in front of the Seventh Circuit as
soon as possible. Because if I deny it, you can still go to
them, right?
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): You know, what, Judge?
                                                             Ι
had not even really thought that through. I am -- I just
wanted the Court to know that that is something we were going
to be filing. And it is completely unrelated to the issue that
came up this morning.
         THE COURT: Yes.
         I cannot do that at this point. It is just -- this
all came -- I did these motions as quickly as I could, given
the schedule that you all suggested to me. And I am not going
to order a trial continuance because of what I think is -- I
just do not see it is a big enough, important enough issue.
And I have a good idea of how they are going to rule, anyway.
So, otherwise, I would not have done what I did.
         But, in any event, that is fine.
         MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Okay.
         THE COURT: And I think your idea of setting limits
```

makes a lot of sense, but I think it is really hard for me to do until I know when we are going to start. Okay?

So, if we start on Tuesday, I think you know how things are going to go. Nothing has changed from what we have been talking about forever, except we are starting a day late.

If we start beyond that, I think that the defense suggestion that we have strict limits probably makes an enormous amount of sense. Because, otherwise, it is just all pie in the sky.

So, think about that and be ready to talk about it if we cannot start on Tuesday.

The other thing I want to -- and I did not hear from the defense on my proposal on the 4th of July week.

MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Judge, we're -- honestly, Judge, we're just really concerned about stopping the trial for July 4th and, then, starting, again.

THE COURT: Yes.

And how concerned are you or should you be with what is going to happen if we do not do that? That is the problem.

I do not want to stop a trial for a week. God only knows what is going to happen. But I think the alternative is that somebody is -- somebody's ox is -- going to be gored because this jury is not going to be want to be here that week.

MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Well, Judge, that is why we think it makes most sense to, you know, try the case in

1 August, September or October.

2.4

We will do everything we can to make sure everybody is available and as flexible as possible. We just thinks it makes a lot more sense.

THE COURT: Okay.

In my heart --

MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): It's not true that the defendants don't want a trial.

THE COURT: In my heart of hearts, I would love to say yes to you, because I agree with you. But I have been promising everybody this date from the beginning of time. And starting one day late, to me, does not seem to justify anything as drastic as throwing out a trial date.

So, you can talk to me, again, about that if we cannot start on Tuesday. Okay?

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Judge, thanks, again, for accommodating Mr. Rivera.

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. I have something I want to talk about.

I have read the Hillman case very closely and thought about it a lot. And I have to suggest I do not know what the plaintiff has in mind, but one thing that is not going to happen at this trial is the torture of the defense witnesses who are going to take the Fifth.

And I do not know what plaintiff intends to do. You

certainly have a right to call them to the stand. You have a right to ask them some questions, so the jury can draw its inferences that it has to draw. But I really need this to be limited.

So, we are not going to keep people on the stand for a day-and-a-half while they take the Fifth. You are going to need to sort of categorize your questions so that you can ask them, at some reasonable point -- and I would think probably spending an hour with these witnesses is probably enough, although I am a little flexible on that -- at some point asking them, "If we ask you questions about X, would your answer be the same?

"If we ask you questions about Y, would your answer be the same?"

And put it into a couple of categories, so we can get them on and off. Because, otherwise, I think it is cruel to the witnesses. And I do not think it is going to do anything but make the jury uncomfortable.

MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Understood, your Honor. And we agree. And that was our intention.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right. Thank you, everybody. We will be looking for updated information.

And, then, if we cannot start on Tuesday, we are going to talk seriously about time limits on Monday. Okay?

```
MR. LOEVY (Via Telephone): Thank you.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 3
              MR. SOTOS (Via Telephone): Thank you, Judge.
 4
              THE COURT: Bye-bye.
 5
              MS. ROSEN (Via Telephone): Thank you, Judge.
 6
              THE COURT: Bye.
 7
 8
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 9
10
                                     June 1, 2018
    /s/ Joene Hanhardt
    Official Court Reporter
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```